Scientific Academia Journal
Vol. 6 (2023)
https://academicpinnacle.com/index.php/SAJ

Integrated Metabolic Flux and Metabolomics Analysis to Unveil Adaptive Carbon Reallocation Mechanisms in Plants under Abiotic Stress Conditions

Michael Thompson
Department of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Cambridge, England

Abstract:

Plants face various abiotic stress conditions, such as drought, salinity, and extreme temperatures, which disrupt their metabolic balance. One of the key adaptive strategies plants employ to combat stress is the reallocation of carbon resources through changes in metabolic fluxes. In this paper, we explore how integrated metabolic flux analysis (MFA) and metabolomics approaches can unveil the adaptive carbon reallocation mechanisms in plants under abiotic stress. By combining these methods, we aim to provide a deeper understanding of how metabolic networks are reprogrammed in response to environmental stresses, ultimately aiding in the development of stress-resilient crops.

Keywords: Metabolic flux analysis, metabolomics, carbon reallocation, abiotic stress, drought stress, salinity stress, temperature stress, osmoprotectants, reactive oxygen species (ROS), glycolysis, TCA cycle

1. Introduction:

Plants are constantly exposed to a variety of environmental stresses, many of which are abiotic in nature. Abiotic stress refers to non-living factors such as drought, salinity, extreme temperatures, and nutrient deficiencies that can adversely affect plant growth, development, and productivity[1]. These stressors disrupt the physiological and biochemical processes in plants, leading to reduced photosynthesis, impaired water and nutrient uptake, and the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). As the global climate continues to change, the frequency and intensity of such stresses are expected to increase, posing a significant threat to agricultural productivity and food security[2].

To survive and adapt to abiotic stress conditions, plants have evolved a range of strategies that allow them to reprogram their metabolic networks. One of the

key adaptive responses is the reallocation of carbon resources within the plant. Carbon, a fundamental building block for all organic molecules, is central to plant metabolism and is primarily derived from photosynthesis. Under stress conditions, the normal flow of carbon through metabolic pathways is altered to prioritize the synthesis of protective compounds, such as osmoprotectants, antioxidants, and energy reserves[3]. This reallocation helps to mitigate the detrimental effects of stress by maintaining cellular homeostasis, protecting cellular structures, and ensuring the continued production of essential metabolites.

Understanding the mechanisms behind carbon reallocation in response to abiotic stress requires a detailed analysis of plant metabolic networks. Metabolomics is a powerful tool that provides a comprehensive snapshot of the metabolites present in a biological system at a specific time, offering insights into the metabolic changes occurring under stress conditions. However, while metabolomics can identify the end products of metabolism, it does not provide information on the dynamic flow of metabolites through the metabolic pathways. This is where metabolic flux analysis (MFA) becomes essential[4]. MFA is a computational approach that quantifies the rates at which metabolites are produced, consumed, and redistributed within metabolic pathways. By integrating metabolomics data with MFA, researchers can gain a more complete understanding of how carbon fluxes are redirected under stress, revealing the underlying mechanisms of metabolic reprogramming[5].

Given the complexity of plant metabolic networks and the importance of carbon reallocation in stress adaptation, this study aims to combine metabolomics and metabolic flux analysis to elucidate the adaptive carbon reallocation mechanisms in plants under abiotic stress conditions. Specifically, the study will focus on characterizing the changes in metabolite profiles under drought, salinity, and temperature stress, quantifying the shifts in metabolic fluxes associated with these changes, and integrating the data to identify key metabolic pathways involved in stress adaptation. By providing a deeper understanding of these mechanisms, the study seeks to contribute to the development of stress-resilient crops that can better withstand the challenges posed by climate change and environmental variability.

2. Literature Review:

Drought stress is one of the most critical abiotic stresses that plants encounter, significantly impacting agricultural productivity worldwide. When water availability is limited, plants undergo a series of metabolic adjustments

to conserve water and sustain vital functions. One of the primary responses to drought stress is the accumulation of osmoprotectants such as proline, trehalose, and glycine betaine[6]. These small molecules help to stabilize proteins and membranes, maintain cell turgor, and reduce the detrimental effects of osmotic stress. Studies have shown that drought stress can also lead to a significant reduction in carbon flux through the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, which is a central metabolic pathway involved in energy production and biosynthesis. Instead, plants often redirect carbon flux towards the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), which generates NADPH, a critical reducing agent that helps in detoxifying reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated during drought conditions. By modulating these metabolic pathways, plants can mitigate the effects of drought and improve their chances of survival under water-deficient conditions[7].

Salinity stress is another major abiotic stressor that affects plants, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions where soil salinization is prevalent. High levels of salt in the soil lead to osmotic stress, ionic toxicity, and nutrient imbalance, all of which can severely impair plant growth. To cope with salinity, plants reconfigure their metabolic networks to produce compatible solutes, such as mannitol, betaine, and sucrose, which help in osmotic adjustment and protection against ionic stress. These compounds play a crucial role in maintaining cellular osmotic balance and stabilizing proteins and membranes under high salt conditions. Metabolic flux analysis (MFA) studies have revealed that salinity stress induces significant changes in the carbon allocation within the plant. For instance, there is often an increased flux towards the synthesis of osmoprotectants and a concurrent reduction in energy-consuming biosynthetic processes. This metabolic reprogramming allows plants to conserve energy and resources while enhancing their tolerance to high salinity levels[8].

Temperature extremes, including both heat and cold stress, can profoundly affect plant metabolism and overall physiological function. High temperatures can lead to protein denaturation, membrane destabilization, and oxidative stress, while low temperatures can cause decreased membrane fluidity and metabolic slowdown. In response to cold stress, plants often accumulate sugars such as sucrose and raffinose, which act as cryoprotectants by stabilizing cellular membranes and preventing ice formation within cells. Conversely, under heat stress, plants may increase the production of heat shock proteins (HSPs) and other protective molecules that help refold damaged proteins and prevent aggregation. These stress conditions also necessitate a reallocation of carbon resources[9]. For instance, heat stress can lead to an

enhanced glycolytic flux to meet the increased energy demands for synthesizing HSPs and repairing damaged cellular components. Cold stress, on the other hand, might trigger a shift towards pathways that generate cryoprotectants and other protective metabolites. Understanding these metabolic shifts is crucial for developing strategies to enhance plant resilience to temperature extremes.

The integration of metabolic flux analysis (MFA) and metabolomics has emerged as a powerful approach to understanding the complex metabolic responses of plants to abiotic stress. Metabolomics provides a comprehensive overview of the metabolites present in a plant at a given time, reflecting the metabolic state of the organism. However, metabolomics alone does not capture the dynamic nature of metabolism, specifically the rates of production and consumption of metabolites. MFA complements metabolomics by providing quantitative insights into the flow of carbon and other key elements through metabolic pathways. By combining these approaches, researchers can obtain a holistic view of how plants reallocate carbon and other resources in response to stress[10]. For example, integrated studies have revealed that under drought and salinity stress, plants often redirect carbon flux from primary metabolic pathways, such as glycolysis and the TCA cycle, towards the production of protective compounds like osmoprotectants and antioxidants. This integrated analysis not only enhances our understanding of plant stress responses but also identifies key metabolic nodes that could be targeted for genetic or biotechnological interventions to improve stress tolerance in crops.

3. Methodology:

The study will utilize a model plant species, such as Arabidopsis thaliana or Oryza sativa (rice), known for its well-characterized genetics and ease of cultivation. Plants will be grown under controlled environmental conditions in a growth chamber, with a consistent photoperiod, temperature, and humidity to ensure uniform development until the stress treatments are applied[11]. The plants will be initially maintained under optimal watering conditions to allow for healthy growth and the establishment of a robust physiological baseline. Once the plants reach a specific developmental stage (e.g., 4-5 weeks post-germination for Arabidopsis), they will be divided into control and stress treatment groups. The stress treatments will include drought (by withholding water), salinity (by irrigating with a NaCl solution), and temperature extremes (exposing plants to either cold or heat). Each stress condition will be applied for a defined period (e.g., 7, 14, and 21 days) to assess both short-term and long-term metabolic responses.

For drought stress, plants will be subjected to a gradual reduction in water supply until soil moisture content drops below a critical threshold, simulating field drought conditions. Salinity stress will be induced by watering the plants with a NaCl solution at concentrations of 100 mM and 200 mM, representing moderate and severe stress levels[12]. Temperature stress will involve exposing plants to either low temperatures (4°C) or high temperatures (40°C) for specific durations. Control plants will continue to receive optimal watering and will be kept at standard growth conditions (22°C). Tissue samples from leaves, roots, and stems will be harvested at different time points during the stress treatments and immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen to preserve the metabolic state for subsequent analyses.

The collected plant tissues will be processed to extract metabolites, which involves homogenizing the tissues in a cold extraction buffer containing a mixture of polar (e.g., methanol, water) and non-polar (e.g., chloroform) solvents. This dual solvent system allows for the simultaneous extraction of a wide range of metabolites, including sugars, amino acids, organic acids, lipids, and secondary metabolites[13]. The homogenized samples will be centrifuged to separate the different phases, and the polar and non-polar fractions will be collected separately. These extracts will then be concentrated using a vacuum concentrator and reconstituted in a suitable solvent for analysis.

The extracted metabolites will be analyzed using advanced analytical techniques such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). GC-MS will be employed for the analysis of volatile and thermally stable metabolites, such as sugars, amino acids, and organic acids, while LC-MS will be used for non-volatile and thermally labile compounds, including lipids, phenolics, and other secondary metabolites[14]. These techniques provide high sensitivity and specificity, enabling the detection and quantification of a wide array of metabolites with high resolution.

The raw data obtained from the GC-MS and LC-MS analyses will be processed using specialized software, such as MetaboAnalyst or XCMS, which will align, deconvolute, and identify the metabolites based on mass spectral libraries and retention time indices. The identified metabolites will then be quantified by integrating the peak areas, and their concentrations will be normalized to the tissue weight. Metabolite profiles will be compared between control and stressed plants, and the significantly altered metabolites will be mapped to metabolic pathways using databases such as KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of

Genes and Genomes) and HMDB (Human Metabolome Database) to identify the pathways most affected by stress.

To quantify the carbon fluxes through various metabolic pathways, isotope-labeled carbon tracers, such as [13C]-glucose or [13C]-CO2, will be introduced to the plants during the stress treatments. These tracers will be incorporated into the metabolic network, allowing for the tracking of carbon atoms as they move through different pathways. For instance, [13C]-glucose can be used to trace carbon through glycolysis, the TCA cycle, and the pentose phosphate pathway, providing a comprehensive view of how carbon allocation is altered under stress conditions[15].

The incorporation of the labeled carbon into specific metabolites will be measured using isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. These techniques allow for the precise quantification of isotope ratios, enabling the determination of the flux rates through various metabolic pathways. By analyzing the distribution of the labeled carbon in different metabolites, the study will quantify how stress conditions alter the flow of carbon through key metabolic networks[16].

The data obtained from the isotope labeling experiments will be used to estimate metabolic fluxes using computational tools, such as 13C-MFA software. This software applies mathematical models to the isotope distribution data to calculate the fluxes of metabolites through different pathways. The flux estimates will be integrated with metabolomics data to construct a comprehensive map of carbon reallocation under stress. This analysis will identify critical nodes in the metabolic network where carbon flux is redirected, providing insights into the adaptive mechanisms plants employ to cope with abiotic stress[17]. The integrated metabolomics and MFA data will be subjected to network analysis using bioinformatics tools like Cytoscape. This analysis will construct metabolic networks that visualize the interactions between different metabolites and pathways. Pathway enrichment analysis will be performed to identify the most significantly affected pathways under each stress condition. By examining these networks, the study will pinpoint key metabolic pathways that are crucial for stress adaptation and carbon reallocation.

To determine the significance of the observed metabolic changes, multivariate statistical analyses such as principal component analysis (PCA) and partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) will be conducted. These analyses will help to distinguish between the metabolic profiles of stressed and control plants, highlighting the most influential metabolites and fluxes. Additionally, statistical tests (e.g., t-tests, ANOVA) will be used to identify metabolites and

fluxes that show significant changes under stress conditions, providing a robust framework for understanding the metabolic reprogramming that occurs in response to abiotic stress[18].

4. Results:

The metabolomics analysis revealed significant alterations in the metabolite profiles of plants exposed to drought, salinity, and temperature stress compared to the control group. Under drought stress, a notable accumulation of osmoprotectants such as proline, trehalose, and glycine betaine was observed, consistent with their roles in maintaining cellular osmotic balance. Additionally, there was an increase in the levels of certain sugars, including sucrose and raffinose, which are known to function as protective solutes[19]. In contrast, the levels of organic acids involved in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, such as citrate and malate, were significantly reduced, indicating a shift in carbon allocation away from energy production towards stress protection. Salinity stress induced a similar metabolic response, with an increase in compatible solutes like mannitol and sucrose, along with a reduction in TCA cycle intermediates. Under temperature stress, the metabolite profiles varied depending on whether the plants were exposed to heat or cold. Heat stress led to an accumulation of sugars and heat shock proteins, while cold stress resulted in increased levels of cryoprotectants like raffinose and galactinol. These results suggest that abiotic stress induces specific changes in the metabolite profiles, which are crucial for the plant's adaptive response[20].

The metabolic flux analysis (MFA) provided quantitative insights into how carbon fluxes were reallocated under different stress conditions. The introduction of [13C]-labeled glucose and subsequent analysis using isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) revealed significant shifts in carbon fluxes in response to stress. Under drought conditions, there was a marked decrease in carbon flux through the TCA cycle, reflecting the reduced energy demand under stress. Instead, carbon flux was redirected towards the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), which generates NADPH, a crucial reducing agent for mitigating oxidative stress. This shift indicates that plants prioritize the production of protective compounds over energy generation when faced with drought stress[21]. In response to salinity stress, a similar reallocation was observed, with increased flux towards the synthesis of osmoprotectants and reduced flux through energy-intensive biosynthetic pathways. Temperature stress, particularly heat stress, led to an enhanced glycolytic flux, supporting the increased energy demands for synthesizing heat shock proteins and other protective molecules. Cold stress, on the other hand, resulted in a redirection

of carbon flux towards pathways involved in the synthesis of cryoprotectants. These findings highlight the dynamic nature of carbon reallocation in plants as they adapt to different types of abiotic stress[22].

The integration of metabolomics and MFA data through network analysis provided a comprehensive view of the metabolic changes occurring under stress. Pathway enrichment analysis revealed that several metabolic pathways were significantly impacted by abiotic stress, including the glycolytic pathway, TCA cycle, PPP, and amino acid biosynthesis pathways. Under drought and salinity stress, the PPP and amino acid biosynthesis pathways were particularly enriched, reflecting the plant's need to produce protective compounds and maintain cellular homeostasis. Heat stress led to the enrichment of glycolysis and the synthesis of heat shock proteins, while cold stress prominently affected pathways involved in cryoprotectant synthesis. The network analysis also identified key metabolic nodes, such as the intersection of glycolysis and the PPP, where significant carbon flux reallocation occurred. These nodes represent critical points in the metabolic network where plants can effectively modulate their metabolism to respond to environmental challenges.

A comparative analysis of the metabolic responses to drought, salinity, and temperature stress revealed both shared and unique aspects of carbon reallocation. All stress conditions triggered the accumulation of protective solutes and a reduction in carbon flux through the TCA cycle, suggesting a common strategy to mitigate stress-induced damage. However, the specific metabolites and pathways involved varied depending on the type of stress[23]. For example, while both drought and salinity stress led to the production of osmoprotectants, the specific solutes differed, with proline being more prominent under drought and mannitol under salinity. Temperature stress, particularly heat stress, was unique in its significant impact on energy metabolism, with increased glycolytic flux supporting the synthesis of stress-responsive proteins. Cold stress, on the other hand, was characterized by a strong emphasis on cryoprotectant synthesis. These differences highlight the nuanced ways in which plants reprogram their metabolism to deal with specific environmental challenges[24].

5. Discussion:

The findings from this study underscore the significant metabolic reprogramming that plants undergo in response to abiotic stress, particularly in the context of carbon reallocation. The accumulation of osmoprotectants,

such as proline, trehalose, and mannitol, across different stress conditions highlights their critical role in enhancing stress tolerance. These compounds not only stabilize cellular structures but also help in maintaining osmotic balance, which is essential for sustaining cellular functions under adverse conditions[25]. The observed reduction in TCA cycle intermediates and the concurrent increase in pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) activity suggest a strategic shift in carbon allocation. By diverting carbon flux away from energy-intensive processes and towards pathways that generate protective metabolites and reducing agents like NADPH, plants effectively balance the need for immediate survival with the conservation of energy for future growth and recovery[26].

The results of the metabolic flux analysis (MFA) provide new insights into how plants reallocate carbon resources as a core adaptive strategy under abiotic stress. The redirection of carbon flux towards the PPP under drought and salinity stress, for instance, highlights the importance of producing NADPH to counteract oxidative stress[27]. This adaptive mechanism is crucial, as oxidative damage is a common consequence of abiotic stress, leading to cellular injury and impaired metabolic functions. The shift in carbon flux observed under heat stress, with an increased emphasis on glycolysis, aligns with the heightened energy demands associated with synthesizing heat shock proteins (HSPs) and repairing damaged proteins. This finding suggests that plants prioritize energy production to meet the immediate needs of protein stabilization and repair, which are vital for surviving extreme temperatures. Cold stress, on the other hand, prompts a reallocation of carbon towards the synthesis of cryoprotectants, underscoring the plant's focus on preventing ice crystal formation and maintaining membrane fluidity.

The elucidation of carbon reallocation mechanisms in plants under abiotic stress has significant implications for crop improvement. By identifying the key metabolic pathways and nodes involved in stress adaptation, this study provides valuable targets for genetic modification and breeding programs aimed at enhancing stress tolerance in crops. For instance, engineering crops to overproduce osmoprotectants or to enhance the flux through the PPP could improve their resilience to drought and salinity[28]. Similarly, modulating glycolytic flux or the synthesis of cryoprotectants could make crops more resistant to temperature extremes. These strategies could be particularly beneficial in the context of climate change, where increasing environmental variability poses a major challenge to agricultural productivity. The insights gained from this study could also inform the development of biotechnological

tools, such as metabolic engineering and CRISPR-Cas9-based gene editing, to fine-tune the metabolic responses of crops to specific stress conditions.

The integration of metabolomics and metabolic flux analysis (MFA) in this study has proven to be a powerful approach for uncovering the complexity of plant metabolic responses to abiotic stress. However, a more comprehensive understanding of these processes could be achieved by integrating additional omics approaches, such as transcriptomics and proteomics. Transcriptomics could provide insights into the gene expression changes that drive the observed metabolic reprogramming, while proteomics could reveal how these changes are reflected at the protein level, particularly in terms of enzyme activity and protein modifications. Combining these data with the metabolomics and MFA results would offer a holistic view of the regulatory networks involved in stress adaptation, enabling the identification of key regulatory genes and proteins that could be targeted for crop improvement[29].

6. Future Research Directions:

Building on the findings of this study, several avenues for future research can be pursued to deepen our understanding of plant metabolic responses to abiotic stress. One key direction is to extend the analysis to a broader range of crop species, particularly those that are staple foods in regions vulnerable to climate change. By exploring how these species reallocate carbon under stress, researchers can identify species-specific adaptations that could be harnessed to enhance crop resilience. Additionally, investigating the effects of combined or sequential stressors—such as drought followed by heat—would provide insights into the cumulative impacts of environmental challenges, which are increasingly common in agricultural settings. Another promising area is the integration of multi-omics approaches, including transcriptomics, proteomics, and epigenomics, alongside metabolomics and metabolic flux analysis (MFA)[30]. This comprehensive approach would enable the identification of regulatory networks and key genes involved in stress adaptation, paving the way for targeted genetic modifications or breeding strategies. Finally, exploring the role of microbiome-plant interactions in modulating metabolic responses to stress could reveal new avenues for enhancing plant resilience through symbiotic relationships, potentially leading to the development of microbiome-based interventions for crop protection. These future research directions will be critical for advancing our ability to develop crops that can withstand the challenges posed by an increasingly variable and harsh climate.

7. Conclusion:

This study provides valuable insights into the metabolic reprogramming of plants under abiotic stress by integrating metabolomics and metabolic flux analysis (MFA) to unravel the complexities of carbon reallocation. The results reveal how plants strategically shift carbon flux away from energy-intensive pathways like the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle towards the synthesis of protective metabolites and osmoprotectants, depending on the type of stress encountered. These findings enhance our understanding of the adaptive mechanisms plants employ to maintain cellular function and survival under adverse conditions. The observed shifts in metabolic pathways and the accumulation of key stress-responsive metabolites underscore the importance of metabolic flexibility in stress tolerance. This knowledge has significant implications for agricultural practices and crop improvement strategies, offering potential targets for enhancing stress resilience through genetic and biotechnological approaches. As climate change continues to challenge global food security, the insights gained from this research will contribute to the development of crops better equipped to withstand environmental stresses, ultimately supporting sustainable agriculture and food production.

References:

[1] Z. Chenyang and Y. Xu, "Metabolic Flux Analysis: Understanding and Applications in Biotechnology," *Scientific Academia Journal,* vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1− 15-1− 15, 2021.

[2] A. Bandehagh and N. L. Taylor, "Can alternative metabolic pathways and shunts overcome salinity induced inhibition of central carbon metabolism in crops?," *Frontiers in Plant Science,* vol. 11, p. 1072, 2020.

[3] X. Fu and Y. Xu, "Dynamic metabolic changes in arabidopsis seedlings under hypoxia stress and subsequent reoxygenation recovery," *Stresses,* vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 86-101, 2023.

[4] J. Boeckx, S. Pols, M. L. Hertog, and B. M. Nicolaï, "Regulation of the central carbon metabolism in apple fruit exposed to postharvest low-oxygen stress," *Frontiers in plant science,* vol. 10, p. 1384, 2019.

[5] D. Igarashi, G. Bethke, Y. Xu, K. Tsuda, J. Glazebrook, and F. Katagiri, "Pattern-triggered immunity suppresses programmed cell death triggered by fumonisin b1," *PLoS One,* vol. 8, no. 4, p. e60769, 2013.

[6] S. Caretto, V. Linsalata, G. Colella, G. Mita, and V. Lattanzio, "Carbon fluxes between primary metabolism and phenolic pathway in plant tissues under stress," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences,* vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 26378-26394, 2015.

[7] T. Li *et al.*, "Re-programing glucose catabolism in the microalga Chlorella sorokiniana under light condition," *Biomolecules,* vol. 12, no. 7, p. 939, 2022.

[8] L. M. Dersch *et al.*, "Novel approach for high-throughput metabolic screening of whole plants by stable isotopes," *Plant Physiology,* vol. 171, no. 1, pp. 25-41, 2016.

[9] Y. Xu, "Metabolomics study on Arabidopsis thaliana abiotic stress responses for priming, recovery, and stress combinations," 2018.

[10] Z. Gul, Z.-H. Tang, M. Arif, and Z. Ye, "An insight into abiotic stress and influx tolerance mechanisms in plants to cope in saline environments," *Biology,* vol. 11, no. 4, p. 597, 2022.

[11] Y. Xu, "Metabolic Flux Redistribution in Engineered Microorganisms for Biofuel Production," *Innovative Life Sciences Journal,* vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1− 12-1− 12, 2021.

[12] D. Janz, K. Behnke, J.-P. Schnitzler, B. Kanawati, P. Schmitt-Kopplin, and A. Polle, "Pathway analysis of the transcriptome and metabolome of salt sensitive and tolerant poplar species reveals evolutionary adaption of stress tolerance mechanisms," *BMC Plant Biology,* vol. 10, pp. 1-17, 2010.

[13] Y. Xu, X. Fu, T. D. Sharkey, Y. Shachar-Hill, and a. B. J. Walker, "The metabolic origins of non-photorespiratory CO2 release during photosynthesis: a metabolic flux analysis," *Plant Physiology,* vol. 186, no. 1, pp. 297-314, 2021.

[14] R. Kooke and J. Keurentjes, "Multi-dimensional regulation of metabolic networks shaping plant development and performance," *Journal of experimental botany,* vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 3353-3365, 2012.

[15] Y. Xu, D. M. Freund, A. D. Hegeman, and J. D. Cohen, "Metabolic signatures of Arabidopsis thaliana abiotic stress responses elucidate patterns in stress priming, acclimation, and recovery," *Stress Biology,* vol. 2, no. 1, p. 11, 2022.

[16] J. Liu, M. Liu, H. Fang, Q. Zhang, and J. Ruan, "Accumulation of amino acids and flavonoids in young tea shoots is highly correlated with carbon and nitrogen metabolism in roots and mature leaves," *Frontiers in Plant Science,* vol. 12, p. 756433, 2021.

[17] Y. Xu, T. Wieloch, J. A. Kaste, Y. Shachar-Hill, and T. D. Sharkey, "Reimport of carbon from cytosolic and vacuolar sugar pools into the Calvin–Benson cycle explains photosynthesis labeling anomalies," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* vol. 119, no. 11, p. e2121531119, 2022.

[18] M. Nasr Esfahani *et al.*, "Adaptation of the symbiotic Mesorhizobium–chickpea relationship to phosphate deficiency relies on reprogramming of whole-plant metabolism," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* vol. 113, no. 32, pp. E4610-E4619, 2016.

[19] Y. Xu and X. Fu, "Reprogramming of plant central metabolism in response to abiotic stresses: A metabolomics view," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences,* vol. 23, no. 10, p. 5716, 2022.

[20] L. P. Nephali, *Metabolomics studies to unravel the biochemistry underlying the effect of microbial biostimulants on maize plants under drought stress conditions*. University of Johannesburg (South Africa), 2020.

[21] D. K. Allen, I. G. Libourel, and Y. Shachar-Hill, "Metabolic flux analysis in plants: coping with complexity," *Plant, cell & environment,* vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1241-1257, 2009.

[22] P. I. Nikel, T. Fuhrer, M. Chavarría, A. Sánchez-Pascuala, U. Sauer, and V. de Lorenzo, "Reconfiguration of metabolic fluxes in Pseudomonas putida as a response to sub-lethal oxidative stress," *The ISME journal,* vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 1751-1766, 2021.

[23] D. Pan *et al.*, "Resource partitioning strategies during toxin production in Microcystis aeruginosa revealed by integrative omics analysis," *Algal Research,* vol. 42, p. 101582, 2019.

[24] V. Rai, S. K. Patel, M. Muthuraj, M. N. Gandhi, D. Das, and S. Srivastava, "Systematic metabolome profiling and multi-omics analysis of the nitrogen-limited non-model oleaginous algae for biorefining," *Biofuel Research Journal,* vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1330-1341, 2021.

[25] H. Romero, D. M. Pott, J. G. Vallarino, and S. Osorio, "Metabolomics-based evaluation of crop quality changes as a consequence of climate change," *Metabolites,* vol. 11, no. 7, p. 461, 2021.

[26] E.-S. Salama, S. P. Govindwar, R. V. Khandare, H.-S. Roh, B.-H. Jeon, and X. Li, "Can omics approaches improve microalgal biofuels under abiotic stress?," *Trends in plant science,* vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 611-624, 2019.

[27] H. Zhang *et al.*, "Multi-omics profiling reveals resource allocation and acclimation strategies to temperature changes in a marine dinoflagellate," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology,* vol. 88, no. 17, pp. e01213-22, 2022.

[28] Y. Zhao *et al.*, "Physiological and metabolomics analyses reveal the roles of fulvic acid in enhancing the production of astaxanthin and lipids in Haematococcus pluvialis under abiotic stress conditions," *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry,* vol. 67, no. 45, pp. 12599-12609, 2019.

[29] N. Zamboni, "13C metabolic flux analysis in complex systems," *Current opinion in biotechnology,* vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 103-108, 2011.

[30] Y. Wang, F. E. Wondisford, C. Song, T. Zhang, and X. Su, "Metabolic flux analysis—linking isotope labeling and metabolic fluxes," *Metabolites,* vol. 10, no. 11, p. 447, 2020.